

Exclusive: Wireless Services CEO Destroys Ignorant Attacks by Fake Fact-Checkers on “2000 Mules” — The Fact-Checkers “Don’t Have the Technical Foundation for the Comments They’re Making”

By [Jim Hoft](#) P May 26, 2022 [Story source: Gateway Pundit](#)



With the release of [2,000 Mules](#), patriots around the country are seeing the truth about the obvious voter fraud that was caught on video at designated ballot boxes. The Mules are those who were dropping fraudulent ballots into drop boxes. We know about the ones that were recorded and who were sloppy enough to keep their phones with them as they committed systemic voter fraud.

There is [much more voter fraud evidence](#) from the 2020 election emerging.

Patriot Joe Brandis, [who has been investigating Michigan voter fraud since the 2020 election with Michigan Citizens for Election Integrity](#) and his team continues to discover [more and more footage of ballot traffickers](#) dumping multiple ballots into the Detroit drop boxes in the runoff of the 2020 election.

<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2022/04/detroit-gateway-pundit-100-percent-fed-release-stunning-footage-woman-signing-multiple-ballots-dropping-absentee-ballot-dropbox/>

Dinesh D’Souza’s [“2000 Mules”](#) documentary exposed shocking evidence that the 2020 Presidential Election was stolen in Arizona and all battleground states through a coordinated and highly organized operation to flood the system with manufactured ballots.

The bombshell film presented Irrefutable evidence of systematic wide-scale voter fraud discovered by True The Vote founder Catherine Engelbrecht and 30-year election intelligence expert Gregg Phillips.

Since its release, the fake fact-checkers attempted to refute the findings and video footage accumulated in the movie to discredit the project.


Following its release, the so-called fact-checkers immediately went to work to debunk the claims from the historic documentary. [PolitiFact](#) and [Associated Press](#) led the charge, and many outlets ran the AP’s flawed and amateurish report, magnifying its reach. Of course, as [RedState](#) noted, the PolitiFact and AP pieces are nearly identical, almost as if they were coordinated. The “facts” or data they used to attempt to discredit D’Souza, True the Vote, and the film are laughably thin — and some are simply not true.

RedState reported on the major argument by the liberal mainstream media against the film. It should be noted that the hit pieces published by the mainstream media were not intended to find the truth but only to discredit the shocking findings presented in the film.

According to Gregg Phillips, True the Vote analyzed more than a petabyte (1,000 terabytes) of data from smartphones in Phoenix, Atlanta, Philadelphia, Detroit, Milwaukee, and Las Vegas, covering the time period from October 1 through Election Day (and through January 6 in Georgia to cover the Senate runoff). In Atlanta, the group says that by using that data, they identified 242 “mules” who met their

criteria (visited 10 different ballot drop boxes and at least five different nonprofit organizations identified as “stash houses”) during that time frame. Here’s what the AP had to say about that claim:

[E]xperts say cellphone location data, even at its most advanced, can only reliably track a smartphone within a few meters — not close enough to know whether someone actually dropped off a ballot or just walked or drove nearby.



“You could use cellular evidence to say this person was in that area, but to say they were at the ballot box, you’re stretching it a lot,” said Aaron Striegel, a professor of computer science and engineering at the University of Notre Dame. **“There’s always a pretty healthy amount of uncertainty that comes with this.”**

That’s simply not true. In the first sentence of the quote, the writer says that experts say that a smartphone can be reliably tracked within a few meters. Depending on what “a few” means in this case, that could be six or nine feet. That’s hardly leaving a healthy amount of uncertainty. Also, we’re not just talking about one visit to a ballot box.

Pieces in the Washington Post and the New York Times also characterize cellphone location data as quite specific and reliable. For example, in May 4 WaPo [article stoking fear that the Patriarchy could use phone data](#) to determine who got an abortion should abortion become illegal in some states.

The New York Times also admitted in a recent report that federal agents [used geo-tracking](#) to identify the protesters attending the January 6 protests in Washington DC.

So the media is well aware of the usefulness and capability of geo-tracking. Their own reports explain and promote the technology.

On Tuesday, The Gateway Pundit spoke to Volta Wireless founder David Sinclair.

[Volta Wireless](#) provides software and services to stop network operators from tracking your location, identity, communications, internet activity, and more.

Volta Wireless protects users from being geo-tracked by the government, wireless companies, or the tech giants.

Mr. Sinclair told TGP, "My business is focused on empowering people to stop letting tech companies, mobile operators, and by extension, government agencies use their mobile phones to track their every move. By the end of this month, we'll start shipping our own phone, which will not be using a Google-based Android or an Apple iOS operating system. It'll be using our own Volt OS so that the operating system providers will no longer be able to use your mobile phone to track your activity."

As far as the facts presented in "2000 Mules" Sinclair had this to say, "I've seen the movie. I've read the rebuttals put out by AP and others. And unlike the media companies that have published rebuttals, I've actually talked with Gregg to better understand the details of the data and the methodology they used. A lot of the fact-checkers, it's clear, don't have the technical foundation for the comments that they are making. They are making statements like 'experts say that the location data you get from a mobile phone is going to be plus or minus 100 feet'. While that may have been true at some point, that's really not true today at all. It's down to being within a few feet... And these phones are using GPS locations. They're also using location triangulation with the towers. Triangulation is a technology that has been used for a long time to be able to determine the location of something else. You get something at one point that tracks where something is located, and you get something at another point to track where that same thing is located. And when you combine that information, you can figure out exactly where that thing is located, within a few feet. That's all tower triangulation is.

According to Sinclair, the technology is continuously improving. "The quality of the antennas and phones have just dramatically improved in the last few years. We have a lot of subscribers for our service who will have a three or four-year-old phone. And their signal quality is at one level. And they purchase a new phone, and suddenly their signal quality is dramatically improved because the quality of the antenna is improved. And the same thing is happening with cameras. The same thing is happening with all the technologies built into mobile phones. And location tracking is just another piece of that puzzle."

The government is using geo-tracking to keep tabs on the hundreds of thousands of illegal migrants entering the country today. It used to be when INS released people into the country who were illegal immigrants, they would put an ankle monitor on them so they could track their location. They have stopped doing that. Instead, they give them a cellphone. And they use that to track their location. Now, what they've discovered is a lot of the immigrants are throwing the phones away because they understand that it's being used to track their location. But the government itself recognizes how valid using mobile phone data to track people's location is.

From our discussion with David Sinclair, it is clear that AP and Politifact need better writers and better arguments. Once again, these fake fact-checkers are pushing completely inaccurate arguments to refute a conservative position. And the mainstream media echoes these shallow arguments. What a scam.

Sadly, for Democrats and the groups behind the criminal ballot trafficking operations, the AP and Politifact talking points will not hold up in court.

This story on the Democrat ballot trafficking operations from the 2020 election is only just beginning.