

American Thinker

December 14, 2022

Election-Fraud Corruption Is Deeper than Anyone Can Imagine

By [Jack Gleason](#)

Multiple polls conclude that upwards of 70 percent of Americans think our elections are filled with fraud. But we're learning it's deeper and more organized than just a few thousand mules dropping fake ballots into election boxes.

The corruption involves both political parties. Big Tech manipulates search engine results, and takes down "dangerous" websites. With Elon Musk's revelations, we now see that our own FBI is working actively with Twitter to censor conservatives and silence dissenting speech. It's next to certain that Twitter wasn't the only social media giant the FBI was giving orders to. And the mainstream media are all in on ridiculing, dismissing, or attacking people willing to speak out.

Anyone who floats the idea that the Republican red wave disappeared because of poor GOP tactics, or inadequate candidates, is either stupid or part of this conspiracy. In fact, it's an excellent "RINO detector"!

Any "conservative" news organization that is not focusing 100 percent on the corruption that has been unearthed in Maricopa County is complicit.

The left and their RINO allies, along with who-knows-how-many other corporate and foreign actors, have set up the most complicated electoral fraud system in history. *Every* step of the voting process — from who is allowed to vote, how they vote, how the votes are tallied, to how the results are reported — is compromised. They are so far into their corruption that being exposed is not an option. They will do literally anything to avoid being caught.

A good place to start is with the voter rolls.

They are so rife with inaccuracies, full of outdated and blatantly false information, that no election can be considered safe. The data are completely unsecured and can be manipulated at will. And most efforts to clean up the rolls are either ignored or done so slowly or incompletely as to be useless.



[One county in Arizona](#) noted "42,000 changes to the election rolls within weeks of the election — most were illegal. 21,000 new voters added to the election rolls, within 29 days of the election — almost every one is illegal. 8,000 voters appear to live in a business — each one would be illegal. 1,951 people received ballots at one address, and then voted from a different address — every one is illegal. The Republican candidate — with an 11-point lead in the polls — lost by around 21,000 votes to a woman who was afraid to debate her!"

Absentee ballots are the currency of election fraud.

When you can manipulate the voting rolls, you can easily create "[floating ballots](#)" in states where absentee ballots are mailed out to every voter. Just change a digit in the ZIP code, and a corrupt postal worker can easily gather up those ballots when they return as undeliverable. All you have to do is line up a buddy at the Post Office and send incorrectly addressed ballots to his ZIP code.



Do This Before
Paying Your

Doctor: Do This
Immediately if

(Pics) Mama Bear
Hugs Man After

These Twins
Were Named

Home Insurance
Bill, It's Genius
By [Big Bill Saving](#)

You Have Toenail
Fungus
By [Healthtrendinfo](#)

He Saves Her
Drowning Cub
By [SurelyAwesome](#)

"Most Beautiful
in the World,"
Wait Till You See
Them
By [SurelyAwesome](#)

[Load More](#)

Another method is to change or delete box numbers for apartment buildings or college campuses. Again, when they come back as undeliverable, they can be snapped up to be saved up for Election Day. If it looks as though your candidate is losing by 2,000 votes, just fill in 2,500, and voilà: victory!

The addresses in the voter rolls need only be [changed for a single day](#) so the ballots can go to the wrong addresses, and the data are changed back. "In a real-life example, this month, we found a county that changed 31,500 zip codes, yet the voter remained at the same address."



There's no way to know this is happening unless someone happens to download the manipulated data at the right time. An almost undetectable crime.

Another type of phantom voter is someone on the rolls who hasn't voted in the last two or three elections. Send an absentee ballot for him at a wrong address, and vote for him. Heard cases where in-person voters are told on Election Day that they've already voted? Their absentee ballot was filled out by someone else first.

More detail can be found at "[How to steal an election.](#)"

The vote-counting process is completely insecure.

There's a [great video from 2020](#) with over a million views, focusing on Michigan vote-tabulating procedures, which are similar in most of the rest of the country. Dr. Shiva Ayyadurai, MIT Ph.D., explains the issues affecting voting system accuracy and exposes a "Weighted Race Feature" in the actual user manual for "Global Election Systems" software that allows system operators to change votes by any percentage for any candidate.

This "feature" is present in almost all voting systems and is seen as early as 2001.

In one case, Ayyadurai's team analyzed early voting and Election Day voting in four counties in the 2020 Michigan election. They concluded that in three major counties, Trump's votes were decreased by at least 69,000, and Biden's votes were increased by 69,000, using a computer algorithm within the voting machine software.

At the [21:00 mark, they explain how it happened](#). In Michigan, you can vote by selecting individual candidates or simply choose to vote for one political party or the other. Obviously, a precinct with a lot of Republican-party-line voters would also have a lot of Republicans chosen by the vote-in-each-contest voters. But somehow, 2020 data showed that the more Republican-leaning the precinct, the more votes were different from the one-party voters vs. the individual voters — always in favor of Biden! This demonstrates likely use of the weighted race feature, which was absent in a predominantly Democrat county.

Even more damning is that when the data are charted, the line is perfectly straight, and the slope of the line in each county is very similar to the others. This could not happen without a mathematical formula being applied to the actual number of votes.

So what can be done? Looking up individual entries on voter rolls, comparing them to local property records for non-existing addresses, and submitting changes to the local Boards of Elections would take hundreds of years.

Fractal computing to the rescue.

Now fraud-savvy groups are analyzing voter rolls and using "[fractal computing](#)" to compare them to other data — county property records that show the type of building at each address so a voter can't register if his address is a vacant lot or a convenience store,

post office databases that show who has moved out of state, or a deceased voter who is listed as alive, then votes, then goes back to being deceased the next day. What if a single house with one bathroom has 35 people listed as living there?

"[One team in Wisconsin](#) challenged almost 400,000 alleged phantoms. A Georgia team, in one county, 37,000."

The one thing in common among the candidates victimized by this level of fraud is not that they are Republicans, but that they are MAGA conservatives. It's clear that both parties use these tactics to favor Democrats and RINOs.

We need voter ID and permanent voter registration cards, publically viewable software, hand-marked paper ballots, and all data to be kept for public viewing.

If we expect the RINOs to actively work to end election fraud, we are just plain stupid. We must insist on new leadership for the RNC, support opposition to Kevin McCarthy as speaker of the House, and hope that turtle McConnell is ousted as the Senate minority leader. And do everything we can to support Kari Lake in her lawsuit to overturn the Arizona gubernatorial election.

Jack Gleason is a conservative political writer. For other websites, to share inside information for important stories, article requests, or comments, contact him at jackgleason9@protonmail.com.




Image: tom.arthur via [Flickr](#); CC BY-NC-SA 2.0.

To comment on this or any other American Thinker article or blog, you must be a subscriber to our ad-free service. Login to your subscription to access the comments section. You can subscribe on a monthly basis for \$6.79 a month or for a year at \$69.99

Login

Subscribe / Change Pwd

?